UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ORDER OF REFERENCE

		Check if previously referred		
MARGETTA LANGLOIS				
	V.	CV.No. <u>04-11588-RWZ</u>		
SAI	MUEL POLLACK ET AL	Criminal Category		
In accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636 and the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, the above-entitled case is referred to Magistrate Judge <u>BOWLER</u> for the following proceedings:				
(A)	() Nondispositive pretrial and See Documents Numbered	oceedings (except ex parte motions in criminal cases) iscovery motions(s) not listed in Paragraph (B) below cases - See Documents Numbered:		
		udge on matters referred for determination shall constitute the Order of the Court where shown to be clearly erroneous in fact or contrary to law. 28 U.S.C.		
(B)	 () Motion(s) for injunctive relie () Motion(s) for judgment on the () Motion(s) for summary judg () Motion(s) to permit mainten () Motion(s) to suppress evided () Motion(s) to dismiss 	e pleadings nent ince of a class action		
(C)	Service as a special master for herewith: () In accordance with Rule 53 () In accordance with 42 U.S.			
(D)	Special instructions <u>MEDIATIO</u>	<u>N</u>		
6/10	0/05 DATE	By : <u>s/ Lisa A. Urso</u> Deputy Clerk		
() Civ () Ser () Civ	il Rule 16(b) /Pretrial Proceeding il and MBD Discovery vice as Special Master il Dispositive Motions cellaneous	· · ·		
Order of	f Ref to MJ.wpd - 12/98)	[oref., koref.]		

¹ See reverse side of order for instructions

INSTRUCTIONS FOR POST-CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS

In accordance with all rules governing §2254 and §2255 cases the magistrate judge to whom this post-conviction	วท
proceeding is referred shall:	

X	Make a recommendation as to summary dismissal under Rule 4 of the Rules for §2254 and §2255 cases		
X	Appoint counsel if the interests of justice so require		
X	Order issuance of appropriate process, if necessary		
<u>X</u>	Hold a hearing to determine whether or not an evidentiary hearing must be held and make a recommendation to the district judge		
<u>X</u>	If the magistrate judge expects to recommend that an evidentiary hearing be held, the magistrate judge shall hold a pretrial conference for the purpose of narrowing the issue to be tried and submit a memo to the district judge setting forth:		
	(a)	a concise summary of the ultimate facts claimed by (1) petitioner (2) respondent (3) other parties;	
	(b)	the facts established by the pleadings or by stipulations of the parties which may be incorporated by reference;	
	(c)	any jurisdictional questions;	
	(d)	issues of law, including evidentiary questions;	
	(e)	the probable length of the evidentiary hearing.	
		nay also require the parties to submit the names of witnesses whom they intend to produce, and to and submit a schedule of, exhibits which they expect to offer in evidence.	
		ny issue concerning which the magistrate judge does not intend to recommend an evidentiary g, the magistrate judge shall submit a memo which shall:	
	(a)	identify the relevant portions of the record or transcript of prior proceedings;	
	(b)	summarize the relevant facts;	
	(c)	summarize the parties' contentions of law with appropriate citations;	

state the recommendations as to the disposition of such contentions of law, and the grounds

(Postconv.ins - 09/92)

(Order of Ref to MJ.wpd - 12/98)

(d)

therefore.